

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D C 20231 www.uspto.gov

٢	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	09/757,378	01/09/2001	James Brady	98-C-037C1	6527

7590

06/04/2002

Lisa K. Jorgenson M/S 2346 1310 Electronics Dr. Carrollton, TX 75006 EXAMINER

LEE, BENNY T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2817

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	1	7.50	1000
	25 m 1 m 1 m		Paul
1			

The value of the containing of the same of the containing of the c

7 M. L. 2002 = 4-	
This also californials open examined Presponsive to communication filed on 12 Mm/h 2002 of this ac	tion is made fo
section is structory period for response to this action is set to expire May Calmers,	this letter.
** THE FOLIO RING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: L	
10. COMMARY OF ACTION	
= \$\frac{1,3-6,8-11,13,14,16-19}{}\$ = \$\frac{1,3-6,8-11}{2}\$\$	ing in the applies .
Of the appre, claims use with	trawn from consider and
= 7 c.sims 2, 7, 12, 15	n cancelled.
217 Cames 1, 3-5, 8, 10; 11, 13, 14, 16, 17-19 are after	ed.
4. Z Claims	tes.
2 Claims ago object	ited to.
Claimsare subject to restriction o	
This application has been filed with informal crawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such matter is incleased.	
Allowable subject natter raying been indicated, formul drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
The corrected or substitute crawings have been redelied on These drawings age:] - 00002 1516 ;
>	¢n
The proposed diamong connection, filled	a that the drawn
2 Abus mission entits muse of the claim for priority under \$6 U.S.O. 119. The certified copy has been receive	id Thot been iege
2 Since this application appears to be in condition for a libwance except for formal number, prosecution as to the macrostrate with the practice under Ex parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 210.	drits is placed in
	• •

EXAMINER'S ACTION

2023 C PO 7 - 62

SN 757378

Art Unit: 2817

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1, note that the status of the parent application should be updated. Page 5, in the brief description of figs. 1 and 6B, should these drawing figure be considered -- prior art --?; line 12, note that reference to "line 3A-3A" is still not consistent with line 3B-3B as depicted in figure 3A. Page 7, line 7, note that "delta" still needs to be strictly defined. Note that "TO OTHER CIRCUITRY" appearing in Fig. 4C needs to be described in the specification.

The drawings are objected to because of the following: In figs. 1, 3B, 5A, 5B, 6B, 6C, 6D, note that for the cross-sectional views therein, a proper cross-hatching for an insulating material needs to be provided. In Figs. 1, 6B, should these figures be labeled as -- PRIOR ART -- 2; In fig. 4c, reference label -- 120 -- needs to be provided. Correction is required.

Claims 6, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, note that it is unclear whether the subject matter of this claim can properly depend from the subject matter of claim 3, from which this claim indirectly depends. In particular, it is unclear whether the "first conductor" can be in a "second plane" if in claim 3, such "first conductor" has already been defined as being in a "first plane". Clarification is needed.

In claim 9, note that it is unclear how "a clock signal" relates to the "electrical signal" recited in claim 1, form which this claim directly depends (i.e. a part thereof, a separate signal therefrom, etc). Clarification is needed.

Serial Number: 757378

Art Unit: 2817

The following claim has been found objectionable for reasons set forth below:

In claim 1, lines 8, 14; claim 5, line 3; claim 11, lines 8, 12: note that --to-- should follow "parallel" at each occurrence.

In claim 11, lines 7, 11, note that "a second area" should correctly be --the second area-for proper antecedent basis.

Claims 6, 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10; 11, 13, 14, 16; 17-19 are allowable over the prior art of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Benny Lee at telephone number 308 4902.